Synthesis of 8‑Phenylphenalenones: 2‑Hydroxy-8-(4 hydroxyphenyl)-1H-phenalen-1-one from Eichhornia crassipes

Felipe Ospina,[†] William Hidalgo,[‡] Marisol Cano,[†] Bernd Schneider,[‡] and Felipe Otálvaro^{*,†}

† Instituto de Química, Síntesis y Biosíntesis de Metabolitos Naturales, Universidad de Antioquia, AA 1226 Med[ellí](#page-5-0)n, Colombia $^\ddag$ Max Planck Institute für Chemische Ökologie, Beutenberg Campus, Hans-Knöll-Strasse 8, 07745 Jena, Germany

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-5-0)STRACT: [2-Hydroxy-8-\(](#page-5-0)4-hydroxyphenyl)-1H-phenalen-1-one (1), the first reported 8-phenylphenalenone from the roots of Eichhornia crassipes (water hyacinth), was synthesized starting from 2-methoxynaphthalene in 11 steps and with an overall yield of 2%. A cascade Friedel−Crafts/Michael annulation reaction between acryloyl chloride and 2-methoxynaphthalene afforded 9-methoxyperinaphthanone that, after transformation to 9-methoxy-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-phenalen-1-one by means of standard Suzuki− Miyaura methodology, was subjected to a reductive carbonyl transposition to afford 8- (4-methoxyphenyl)perinaphthanone. Dehydrogenation, epoxidation, and demethylation of the latter afforded 1.

In 2005, Hölscher and Schneider reported the isolation of the
first 8-phenylphenalenones from the roots of *Eichhornia*
crassings (water byzointh) a pantronical freebyater wood n 2005, Hölscher and Schneider reported the isolation of the crassipes (water hyacinth), a pantropical freshwater weed characterized by its invasive nature.¹ The biosynthesis of these novel phenylphenalenones was suggested to involve an unusual 1,2-aryl migration similar to th[at](#page-5-0) known to occur in the biosynthesis of isoflavonoids. $¹$ This work added another group</sup> of phenylphenalenones, namely 8-phenylphenalenones, to the well-known isomeric 4- and [9](#page-5-0)-phenylphenalenones (Figure 1)

Figure 1. Examples of natural isomeric phenylphenalenones: 2 hydroxy-8-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-1H-phenalen-1-one (1),¹ hydroxyanigorufone, $3,4$ and irenolone.²

occurrin[g](#page-6-0) in [t](#page-6-0)he plant kingdom.^{1−8} 4-Phenylphenalenones seem to be exclusive to Musaceae,^{2−4} and 9-phenylphenalenones are common in Hemodor[ac](#page-5-0)[ea](#page-6-0)e,^{5,6} Musaceae,²⁻⁴ and Pontederiaceae.⁸ Interestingly, 2-[phen](#page-6-0)yl-1H-phenalen-1-one (fuliginone) has been reported for the [fi](#page-6-0)[rs](#page-6-0)t time as [a](#page-6-0) [na](#page-6-0)tural product from [Ma](#page-6-0)cropidia fuliginosa (Hemodoraceae).⁹

A biological evaluation of isomeric phenylphenalenones reveals a striking contrast in some activities.^{10,11} For [e](#page-6-0)xample, Rosquete and co -workers¹⁰ reported a differential in vitro antiprotozoal activity among three isomeri[c 3-,](#page-6-0) 4-, and 9-(4-

methoxyphenyl)phenalenones, with the 3-isomer being inactive against Leishmania amazonensis and Trypanosoma cruzi, the 4 isomer being active against the same protozoa, and the 9-isomer active only against L. amazonensis. In addition, activity in the submicrogram range was observed against Plasmodium falciparum for the 3-isomer with a 15-fold difference with respect to its 9-phenylphenalenone counterpart. 11 These findings indicate the importance of the spatial positioning of the 4-methoxyphenyl group in relation to the carbo[ny](#page-6-0)l group, as noticed by Gutiérrez and co-workers.¹¹

While the synthesis of several 4- and 9-phenylphenalenones is well-established 12 and the bioactivity [of n](#page-6-0)atural and synthetic compounds of these types has been studied somewhat, $10,11,13$ nothing is known [ab](#page-6-0)out the biological activity or ecological role of 8-phenylphenalenones and their structure−activity r[elation](#page-6-0)ship (SAR). Accordingly, the development of synthetic routes to 8-phenylphenalenones seems desirable for conducting systematic studies among phenylphenalenones.

Ideal synthetic strategies toward 1 would exploit a late functionalization of the commercially accessible perinaphthenone at position C-8. Unfortunately, perinaphthenone seems to be prone only to electrophilic substitution at position C-2 or to the addition of strong nucleophiles at $C-9$.^{14,12} However, position C-2 in perinaphthenone can be viewed as a latent C-8 position if somehow the carbonyl group can b[e tra](#page-6-0)nsposed to position C-9. Thus, a 1,2 reduction of 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-9 methoxyperinaphthenone (8) should in principle provide 8-(4 methoxyphenyl)phenalenone (4) after an acidic workup (Scheme 1). Such a process closely resembles the 1,2 addition

[Received:](#page-1-0) November 6, 2015 Published: January 7, 2016

Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic Analysis for 2-Hydroxy-8-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-1H-phenalen-1-one (1)

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Key Substrate 8

Scheme 3. Model Studies toward Carbonyl Transposition

of nucleophiles to enol ethers of cyclohexane-1,3-diones that was first reported by Frank and Hall¹⁵ and applied recently in a similar context.¹⁶ Disconnection of the aryl moiety in 4 via Suzuki−Miyaura coupling points t[o th](#page-6-0)e use of 9-methoxyperinaphthenone ([10](#page-6-0)) as the initial substrate.

Compound 10 was prepared by a reportedly difficult methylation of 9-hydroxyperinaphthenone, 17 which can be obtained by condensation between 2-methoxynaphthalene and cinnamoyl chloride followed by arom[ati](#page-6-0)zation through elimination of benzene. $12,18}$ In our case, it was postulated that a cascade process mediated by Lewis acid and involving 2 methoxynaphthalene an[d acr](#page-6-0)yloyl chloride could provide 10 in a straightforward way (Scheme 1).

Treatment of 2-methoxynaphthalene with acryloyl chloride in the presence of $AICI_3$ in dichloromethane afforded 9methoxy-2,3-dihydro-1H-phenalen-1-one (11) after an acidic workup. This reaction probably proceeds via sequential Friedel–Crafts/Michael addition in that order.¹⁸ Direct treatment of 11 with 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyanobenzoquinone (DDQ) effected dehydrogenation to afford [9](#page-6-0)-methoxyperinaphthenone (10) in a 40% combined yield after column chromatography (Scheme 2). Bromination of 10 with Nbromosuccinimide (NBS) afforded 2-bromo-9-methoxy-1Hphenalen-1-one (9) with suitable purity for synthetic purposes. Attempts to transpose the carbonyl group in 9 by means of NaBH $_4$ according to Nishida and co-workers¹⁶ afforded 9methoxyperinaphthenone (10) as the major product. Interestingly, those conditions are reported to effective[ly](#page-6-0) transform 2 bromo-5,8-di-tert-butyl-4,9-dimethoxy-1H-phenalen-1-one into 8-bromo-2,5-di-tert-butyl-6-methoxy-1H-phenalen-1-one.¹⁶

Therefore, installation of the aryl moiety prior to a reductive carbonyl transposition seemed to be adequate. In this regard, reaction of 9 and 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid mediated by [1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene](3 chloropyridyl)palladium(II) dichloride (PEPPSI-IPr) using a standard protocol afforded 9-methoxy-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)- 1H-phenalen-1-one (8) in a gratifying yield of 66% from 10 after column chromatography.

Model studies with 9-methoxy-2-phenyl-1H-phenalen-1-one (8a) (prepared in a manner similar to that of 8) demonstrated the recalcitrant properties of this compound toward reduction. In fact, treatment of 8a with NaBH₄ according to Nishida and co-workers¹⁶ did not provide any detectable product of reduction or carbonyl transposition. The addition of cerium- (III) chlori[de](#page-6-0) did not improve the situation. Moreover, treating 8a with diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL-H) at room temperature generated an unidentified compound that rapidly reverted to the starting material in the open air. Treating the DIBAL-H product with silica sulfuric acid (SSA) in wet CH_2Cl_2 (Scheme 3) allowed for the isolation of 7-hydroxy-8-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-phenalen-1-one (7a) (33% yield), suggesting t[hat the D](#page-1-0)IBAL-H reduction introduced the hydride into

position C-9 in 8a followed by SSA-mediated conjugate substitution of the methoxyl by water at position C-4. Scheme 4 provides a mechanistic rationale for this process. Refluxing 8a with excess $LiAlH₄$ in THF allowed 9-methoxy-2-phenyl-2,3dihydro-1H-phenalen-1-one (7b) in 25% yield [38% based on recovered starting material (brsm)] to be isolated from a reaction mixture that also contained the same product that was obtained in the DIBAL-H reduction (Scheme 3). Although 7b was not the expected product, molecular modeling of this compound revealed a dihedral angle [of 28.27](#page-1-0)° defined by the O, C-1, C-9a, and C-9 atoms.¹⁹ This result shows that the carbonyl group in 7b is not part of a conjugated system and therefore should be accessible [to](#page-6-0) reduction. Fortunately, this proved to be the case, and reduction of 7b with NaBH4 afforded 9-methoxy-2-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-phenalen-1-ol (6b) (98%); upon treatment with SSA, that was transformed to 8-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-phenalen-1-one (5b) (40%, 28% from 8a without purification of intermediates) presumably through tautomerization from 8-phenyl-7,8-dihydro-1H-phenalen-1-ol (Scheme 4). Dehydrogenating 5b with DDQ afforded 8-phenylphenalenone (4b) in 78%.

With the route established for 4b, we decided to conduct the final steps toward the natural product 1 (Scheme 5). Thus, the sequential reduction of 8 with LiAlH₄ and NaBH₄ followed by SSA treatment (Scheme 5) afforded 8-([4-methoxyp](#page-2-0)henyl)-2,3 dihydro-1H-phenalen-1-one (5) (15%, 23% brsm) together with 2-(4-met[hoxyphenyl](#page-2-0))-1H-phenalen-1-one (12%) after preparative TLC. The formation of the latter compound, presumably the product of a conjugate hydride addition at position C-9, was detected after the addition of N aBH₄ and could not be suppressed by the addition of $CeCl₃·7H₂O$. Moreover, this unexpected process was not detected during the model study of the synthesis of 4b. Treating 5 with DDQ effected dehydrogenation to produce 8-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1Hphenalen-1-one (4, 77%). The installation of the hydroxyl group in 4 was achieved through the epoxidation of the enone followed by an acid-catalyzed oxirane opening to produce 2 hydroxy-8-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-phenalen-1-one (2) in 85% combined yield. Demethylating 2 with HBr (55%, 90% brsm) afforded compound 1, which was identical in all respects with the natural product.

In summary, we have developed an 11-step synthesis of 2 hydroxy-8-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-1H-phenalen-1-one (1) starting from 2-methoxynaphthalene in a 2% global yield using a reductive carbonyl transposition as a key step. The use of a Friedel−Crafts/Michael annulation reaction for the construction of 9-methoxyperinaphthanone is also noteworthy.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Procedures. All reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) conducted on 0.25 mm Merck silica gel plates $(60-F_{254})$ using UV light (254 nm) as a visualizing agent and a 98% sulfuric acid/methanol (9:1) solution and heat as developing agents. NMR spectroscopic analyses were performed on a 500 MHz NMR spectrometer operating at 500.13 MHz (¹H) and 125.75 MHz (¹³C). Chemical shifts are reported relative to residual solvent signals. Signals were assigned with the aid of $HMQC$, $HMBC$, and ${}^{1}\text{H}-{}^{1}\text{H}$ COSY spectra. HRESIMS was conducted in positive ion mode on an UPLC−MS/MS system consisting of an Ultimate 3000 series RSLC (Dionex, Idstein, Germany) system and an Orbitrap mass spectrometer. Yields refer to weighed chromatographically homogeneous samples.

Synthetic Procedures. 9-Methoxyperinaphthenone (10). A solution of 2-methoxynaphthalene (1.5 g, 9.5 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (25 mL, rotovaporated from MgSO4) was cooled to −10 °C (salt/ice bath). AlCl₃ (1.9 g, 14.5 mmol) was then added and the mixture agitated for 15 min (solution turns green). To this mixture was slowly added acryloyl chloride (850 μ L, 9.9 mmol) (1 min addition, solution turns red), and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature (25 °C in 30 min) with further stirring (3 h). Reaction progress was checked by TLC $[CH_2Cl_2, R_f (11) = 0.6]$. The reaction was quenched with a 18% $\text{HCl}_{(aq)}$ solution (20 mL, slow addition, gas evolution!) and the mixture partitioned between CH_2Cl_2 (3 \times 200 mL) and $H₂O$ (200 mL). The organic phase was evaporated, dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL), and refluxed with DDQ (2.2 g, 9.5 mmol) for 1.5 h. Reaction progress was checked by TLC $[CH_2Cl_2, R_f (10) = 0.3]$. After cooling, the reaction mixture was adsorbed in silica gel, and compound 10 was purified by isocratic column chromatography $(CH₂Cl₂)$ prior to stabilization of the stationary phase with petroleum ether (bp 55−68 °C): 800 mg (40% yield); yellow solid; mp 79−81 °C (uncorrected); ¹H NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 4.16 (3H, s, -OMe), 6.58 (1H, d, J = 9.9 Hz, H-2), 7.58 (1H, d, J = 9.2 Hz, H-8), 7.71 (1H, dd, J $= 8.1$ and 7.3 Hz, H-5), 8.23 (1H, d, J = 9.9 Hz, H-3), 8.24 (1H, d, J = 9.2 Hz, H-7), 8.28 (1H, dd, J = 8.1 and 1.3 Hz, H-4), 8.53 (1H, dd, J = 7.3 and 1.3 Hz, H-6); 13 C NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 58.0 (-OMe), 114.7 (C-9a), 115.8 (C-8), 126.7 (C-5), 128.8 (C-2), 129.8 (C-6a), 130.5 (C-9b), 131.0 (C-3a), 132.3 (C-6), 136.5 (C-4), 136.6 (C-7), 136.9 (C-3),

160.9 (C-9), 185.7 (C-1); HRMS (ESI) $[M + H]^+$ calcd for $C_{14}H_{11}O_2$ m/z 211.0754, found m/z 211.0752.

For identification purposes, compound 11 was isolated by column chromatography (CH_2Cl_2) using the same procedure as described above without treatment with DDQ.

9-Methoxy-2,3-dihydro-1H-phenalen-1-one (11). 424 mg (21% yield, rapidly decomposes); brown oil; $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl₃) δ 2.91 (2H, t, J = 7.4 Hz, H-2), 3.37 (2H, t, J = 7.4 Hz, H-3), 3.98 (3H, s, -OMe), 7.31 (1H, d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, H-8), 7.41 (1H, dd, $J = 8.0$ and 7.1 Hz, H-5), 7.80 (1H, d, J = 9.0 Hz, H-7), 7.99 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-4), 8.15 (1H, d, J = 7.1 Hz, H-6); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 21.5 (C-3), 37.6 (C-2), 56.1 (-OMe), 113.2 (C-8), 117.6 (C-9a), 123.1 (C-4), 125.6 (C-5), 127.6 (C-6), 128.6 (C-9b), 129.0 (C-6a), 132.9 (C-3a), 134.0 (C-7), 154.5 (C-9), 198.9 (C-1); HRMS (ESI) $[M + H]^+$ calcd for $C_{14}H_{13}O_2 m/z$ 213.0910, found m/z 213.0916.

2-Bromo-9-methoxy-1H-phenalen-1-one (9). In a round-bottom flask, covered with aluminum foil, were mixed compound 10 (252 mg, 1.2 mmol), NBS (281 mg, 1.6 mmol), and N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF, 4 mL) in that order. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h, and the crude material was partitioned between CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 100 mL) and H₂O (200 mL). The organic phase was dried to afford crude 2-bromo-9-methoxy-1H-phenalen-1-one (9) at a suitable purity $[R_f (9) = 0.4 \ (CH_2Cl_2)]$ for the next step. Compound 9 was further purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ CH_2Cl_2) using a gradient elution scheme (10:1 to 9:2 to 8:3 to 7:4 to 6:5) accounting for a total of 10 fractions (100 mL each): 233 mg (67% yield); yellow solid; mp 210−212 °C (uncorrected); ¹ H NMR (C_2D_6CO) δ 4.21 (3H, s, -OMe), 7.63 (1H, d, J = 9.2 Hz, H-8), 7.77 $(H, dd, J = 7.9 \text{ and } 7.5 \text{ Hz}, H = 5), 8.33 (1H, d, J = 9.2 \text{ Hz}, H = 7), 8.37$ (1H, dd, J = 7.9 and 1.3 Hz, H-6), 8.64 (1H, dd, J = 7.5 and 1.3 Hz, H-4), 8.67 (1H, s, H-3); ¹³C NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 58.3 (-OMe), 114.8 (C-9a), 116.0 (C-8), 125.2 (C-3a) 127.2 (C-5), 129.6 (C-6a), 129.7 (C-9b), 130.0 (C-2), 134.2 (C-4), 137.6 (C-6), 138.0 (C-7), 138.4 (C-3), 161.3 (C-9), 179.0 (C-1); HRMS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ calcd for $C_{14}H_{10}BrO_2$ m/z 288.9859, found m/z 288.9841.

9-Methoxy-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-phenalen-1-one (8). Compound 9 (all crude material from previous step), K_2CO_3 (561 mg, 4.0) mmol), [1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene](3 chloropyridyl)palladium(II) dichloride (PEPPSI-IPr, 17 mg, 0.025 mmol), (4-methoxyphenyl)boronic acid (216 mg, 1.4 mmol), and dioxane (4 mL) were mixed in that order in a 25 mL round-bottom flask. The mixture was stirred under argon at 60 °C for 18 h. Partitioning the mixture between CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 100 mL) and H₂O (200 mL) followed by drying of the organic phase afforded 9-methoxy-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-phenalen-1-one [8; $R_f = 0.4$ (CH₂Cl₂)] after column chromatography (2:1 petroleum ether/ CH_2Cl_2): 250 mg (66% yield from ¹⁰); orange solid; mp 134−¹³⁶ °C (uncorrected); ¹ ¹H NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 3.86 (3H, s, 4'-OMe), 4.19 (3H, s, 9-OMe), 7.01 (2H, ∼d, J = 9.0 Hz, H-3′-5′), 7.62 (1H, d, J = 9.2 Hz, H-8), 7.69 (2H, ∼d, J = 9.0 Hz, H-2′-6′), 7.75 (1H, dd, J = 7.9 and 7.5 Hz, H-5), 8.24 (1H, d, J = 9.2 Hz, H-7), 8.31 (1H, dd, J = 7.9 and 1.3 Hz, H-6), 8.31 (1H, s, H-3), 8.63 (1H, dd, J = 7.5 and 1.3 Hz, H-4); ¹³C NMR (C_2D_6CO) δ 56.5 (9-OMe), 58.1 (4'-OMe), 115.1 (C-3'-5'), 115.9 (C-8), 126.8 (C-5), 132.1 (C-2′-6′), 133.1 (C-4), 133.4 (C-6), 136.2 (C-7), 136.5 (C-3), 161.0 (C-9), 161.4 (C-4′), 184.5 (C-1); HRMS (ESI) $[M + H]^{+}$ calcd for $C_{21}H_{17}O_3$ m/z 317.1172, found m/z 317.1169.

8-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-phenalen-1-one (5). To a suspension of $LiALH₄$ (101.4 mg, 2.7 mmol) in dry THF (9 mL) was added compound 8 (262 mg, 0.8 mmol), and the mixture was refluxed for 2 h. After cooling, the crude reaction was quenched with ethyl acetate (AcOEt, dropwise until gas evolution ceased) and then the mixture partitioned between AcOEt (2×100 mL) and 5% HCl(₃₀) (150 mL). The organic phase was dried and reconstituted with MeOH (5 mL) . To this solution was added NaBH₄ (263 mg, 7 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 45 min at room temperature. The mixture was dried and partitioned between CH₂Cl₂ (3×100 mL) and H₂O (200 mL), and the organic phase was concentrated. The mixture was treated with silica sulfuric acid²⁰ (SSA, 1.0 g) in CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) for 24 h and adsorbed on silica gel for subsequent column chromatography [2:1 petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂; R_f (5, CH₂Cl₂) = 0.6]: 35 mg (15% yield from 8); brown oil; ¹H NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 2.96 $(2H, t, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, H-2), 3.47 \text{ } (2H, t, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, H-3), 3.88 \text{ } (3H, s,$ -OMe), 7.11 (2H, ∼d, J = 9.0 Hz, H-3′-5′), 7.51 (1H, ∼dd, J = 7.0 and 1.3 Hz, H-4), 7.56 (1H, dd, J = 8.1 and 7.0 Hz, H-5), 7.80 (2H, ∼d, J = 9.0 Hz, H-2′-6′), 7.95 (1H, ∼dd, J = 8.1 and 1.3 Hz, H-6), 8.36 (1H, d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, H-9), 8.43 (1H, d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, H-7); ¹³C NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 29.9 (C-3), 40.1 (C-2), 56.7 (-OMe), 116.4 (C-3′-5′), 124.9 (C-9), 127.1 (C-4), 128.2 (C-6), 128.7 (C-5), 130.1 (C-2′-6′), 132.1 (C-7), 132.2 (C-6a), 132.2 (C-9b), 134.0 (C-1′), 135.5 (C-3a), 136.1 (C-9a), 139.6 (C-8), 161.8 (C-4′), 199.0 (C-1); HRMS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ calcd for $C_{20}H_{17}O_2$ m/z 289.1223, found m/z 289.1224.

For identification purposes, compounds 6 and 7 were isolated by preparative TLC (CH_2Cl_2) using the same procedure without treatment with NaBH₄ and SSA or SSA (R_f values of 0.6 and 0.4, respectively).

9-Methoxy-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-phenalen-1 one (7). ¹H NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 3.63 (2H, m, H-3), 3.74 (3H, s, 4'-OMe), 4.03 (3H, s, 9-OMe), 4.13 (1H, dd, J = 8.8 and 6.6 Hz, H-2), 6.83 (2H, ∼d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-3′-5′), 7.19 (2H, ∼d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-2′-6′), 7.47 (1H, dd, $J = 8.1$ and 7.2 Hz, H-5), 7.54 (1H, d, $J = 9.2$ Hz, H-8), 7.96 (1H, d, J = 9.2 Hz, H-7), 8.06 (1H, dd, J = 7.2 and 1.3 Hz, H-4), 8.12 (1H, dd, J = 8.1 and 1.3 Hz, H-6); ¹³C NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 30.5 (C-3), 53.3 (C-2), 56.4 (4′-OMe), 57.6 (9-OMe), 115.5 (C-3′-5′), 115.6 (C-8), 118.7 (C-9a), 125.0 (C-5), 127.7 (C-4), 129.7 (C-7), 130.6 (C-6a), 130.9 (C-3a), 131.1 (C-2′-6′), 133.2 (C-1′), 134.3 (C-9b), 135.6 (C-6), 156.7 (C-9), 160.6 (C-4′), 199.1 (C-1); HRMS (ESI) $[M + H]^+$ calcd for $C_{21}H_{19}O_3$ m/z 319.1329, found m/z 319.1326.

(1S*,2S*)-9-Methoxy-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-phenalen-1-ol (6). ¹H NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 3.06 (1H, m, H-2), 3.06 and 3.42 (2H, m, H-3), 3.78 (3H, s, 4′-OMe), 3.94 (3H, s, C-9-OMe), 4.20 (1H, d, $J = 6.2$ Hz, -OH), 5.10 (1H, dd, $J = 10.8$ and 6.2 Hz, H-1), 6.88 (2H, ∼d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-3′-5′), 7.29 (2H, ∼d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-2′-6′), 7.34 (1H, dd, $J = 8.2$ and 7.2 Hz, H-5), 7.39 (1H, d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, H-8), 7.70 (1H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, H-4), 7.73 (1H, d, J = 8.2 Hz, H-6), 7.80 (1H, d, J = 9.0 Hz, H-7); ¹³C NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 31.6 (C-3), 48.7 (C-2), 56.4 (4′-OMe), 57.4 (9-OMe), 74.5 (C-1), 114.6 (C-8), 115.5 (C-3′- 5′), 121.3 (C-9a), 124.5 (C-4), 125.5 (C-5), 128.4 (C-6), 128.8 (C-7), 130.5 (C-6a), 130.9 (C-2′-6′), 131.8 (C-9b), 137.5 (C-1′), 140.3 (C-3a), 154.8 (C-9), 160.3 (C-4'); HRMS (ESI) $[M + Na]^{+}$ calcd for $C_{21}H_{20}NaO_3$ m/z 343.1305, found m/z 343.1307.

2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1H-phenalen-1-one was also found at the end of this sequence in a yield of 12% from 8 $[R_f = 0.4 \text{ (CH}_2Cl_2)]$: mp: 136−137 °C (uncorrected); ¹H NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 3.86 (3H, s, -OMe), 7.02 (2H, ∼d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-3′-5′), 7.70 (2H, ∼d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-2'-6'), 7.72 (1H, dd, J = 8.1 and 7.0 Hz, H-5), 7.90 (1H, dd, J = 8.2 and 7.2 Hz, H-8), 8.01 (1H, d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, H-4), 8.03 (1H, s, H-3), 8.18 (1H, d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, H-6), 8.40 (1H, dd, $J = 8.1$ and 1.3 Hz, H-7), 8.62 (1H, dd, J = 7.3 and 1.3 Hz, H-9); ¹³C NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 56.6 (-OMe), 115.2 (C-3′-5′), 128.7 (C-9b), 129.0 (C-5), 129.2 (C-8), 130.1 (C-9a), 130.9 (C-1′), 131.7 (C-3a), 132.0 (C-9), 132.2 (C-2′- 6′), 133.0 (C-6), 133.4 (C-4), 134.1 (C-6a), 136.6 (C-7), 140.1 (C-2), 140.2 (C-3), 161.6 (C-4′), 185.2 (C-1); HRMS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ calcd for $C_{20}H_{15}O_2$ m/z 287.1067, found m/z 287.1068.

8-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1H-phenalen-1-one (4). To a solution of compound 5 (35 mg, 0.1 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) was added DDQ (62 mg, 0.3 mmol). The mixture was refluxed for 10 h. The adsorption of the mixture in a silica gel was followed by column chromatography [2:1 petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂, R_f (4, CH₂Cl₂) = 0.4]: 22 mg (77%) yield); orange solid; mp 102−104 °C (uncorrected); ¹ H NMR (C_2D_6CO) δ 3.87 (3H, s, -OMe), 6.65 (1H, d, J = 9.9 Hz, H-2), 7.11 (2H, ∼d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-3′-5′), 7.69 (1H, dd, J = 7.0 and 8.3 Hz, H-5), 7.82 (2H, ∼d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-2′-6′), 7.90 (1H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, H-4), 7.93 $(1H, d, J = 9.9 Hz, H-3), 8.21 (1H, d, J = 8.3 Hz, H-6), 8.56 (1H, d, J)$ = 2.0 Hz, H-7), 8.72 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, H-9); ¹³C NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 56.7 (-OMe), 116.4 (C-3′-5′), 128.0 (C-9b), 129.2 (C-5), 129.5 (C-3a), 129.8 (C-9), 130.3 (C-2′-6′), 130.6 (C-2), 131.6 (C-9a), 133.1 (C-4), 133.3 (C-7), 133.6 (C-1′), 133.9 (C-6), 134.9 (C-6a), 141.2

(C-8), 143.6 (C-3), 161.9 (C-4′), 186.4 (C-1); HRMS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₅O₂ m/z 287.1067, found m/z 287.1068.

2-Hydroxy-8-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-phenalen-1-one (2). A solution of compound 4 (50 mg, 0.2 mmol) in benzene (4 mL) was treated with triton B (24 μ L, 40% in MeOH) and t-BuOOH (24 μ L, 70% in H₂O) and stirred at 0 \degree C for 0.5 h. The ice bath was removed and stirred continually for an additional 1 h followed by another addition of triton B (24 μ L, 40% in MeOH) and t-BuOOH (24 μ L, 70% in H₂O). Agitation for an additional 1 h, followed by CH_2Cl_2 (2 \times 100 mL)/H₂O (200 mL) partitioning, afforded 50 mg of crude material after rotary evaporation of the organic phase. The crude material was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (4 mL) and treated with the soluble fraction of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (p -TSA, 10 mg) in diethyl ether (2 mL) for 2 h. The addition of another portion of p-TSA (10 mg) was followed by stirring for an additional 13 h. Partitioning the crude extract between CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 100 mL) and H₂O (200 mL), followed by rotary evaporation of the organic phase, afforded 2-hydroxy-8-(4 methoxyphenyl)-1H-phenalen-1-one (2) in suitable purity for the next step: R_f (2, CH₂Cl₂) = 0.4; 51 mg (85% yield); red solid; mp 222−224 $^{\circ}$ C (uncorrected); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.90 (3H, s, -OMe), 7.07 (2H, $\sim d$, J = 8.6 Hz, H-3′-5′), 7.17 (1H, s, H-3), 7.60 (1H, dd, J = 8.1 and 7.0 Hz, H-5), 7.69 (1H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, H-4), 7.75 (2H, ∼d, J = 8.6 Hz, H-2′-6′), 7.97 (1H, d, J = 8.1 Hz, H-6), 8.41 (1H, s, H-7), 8.96 (1H, s, H-9); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 55.4 (O-Me), 113.8 (C-3), 114.6 (C-3'-5′), 123.1 (C-9b), 127.5 (C-5), 127.7 (C-3a), 128.2 (C-9a), 128.6 (C-2′-6′), 129.9 (C-6), 130.0 (C-9), 130.2 (C-4), 131.8 (C-1′), 132.5 (C-6a), 133.4 (C-7), 139.5 (C-8), 149.5 (C-2), 159.8 (C-4′), 180.6 (C-1); HRMS (ESI) $\rm [M+H]^+$ calcd for $\rm C_{20}H_{15}O_3$ m/z 303.1016, found m/z 303.1015.

For identification purposes, an aliquot of the crude material was taken prior to treatment with p -TSA.

5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-7a,8a-dihydro-7H-phenaleno[1,2-b]oxiren-7-one (3). ¹H NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 3.88 (3H, s, -OMe), 4.13, (1H, d, J = 3.9 Hz, H-8a), 4.78 (1H, d, J = 3.9 Hz, H-7a), 7.12 (2H, ∼d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-3′-5′), 7.67 (1H, dd, J = 8.4 and 7.0 Hz, H-2), 7.82 (2H, ∼d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-2'-6'), 8.02 (1H, dd, J = 7.0 and 1.1 Hz, H-1), 8.15 (1H, dd, $J = 8.4$ and 1.1 Hz, H-3), 8.54 (1H, d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, H-4), 8.55 (1H, d, J $= 2.0$ Hz, H-6); ¹³C NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 56.7 (-OMe), 58.3 (C-8a), 58.5 (C-7a), 116.4 (C-3′-5′), 128.1 (C-6), 128.5 (C-2), 129.2 (C-8c), 129.5 (C-8c), 130.1₈ (C-3a), 130.2₂ (C-2'-6'), 131.3 (C-1), 131.8 (C-3), 133.2 (C-4), 133.4 (C-1′), 135.8 (C-8b), 140.6 (C-5), 162.0 (C-4'), 193.7 (C-7); HRMS (ESI) $[M + H]^{+}$ calcd for $C_{20}H_{15}O_3$ m/z 303.1016, found m/z 303.1017.

2-Hydroxy-8-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-1H-phenalen-1-one (1). Compound 2 (34 mg, 0.1 mmol) was dissolved in glacial acetic acid (AcOH, 4 mL) followed by addition of HBr (47 μ L, 48% in water). The mixture was refluxed for 7 h and then partitioned between CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 100 mL) and H₂O (200 mL). The organic phase was dried and subjected to preparative TLC (CH₂Cl₂, four runs) to give 2hydroxy-8-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-1H-phenalen-1-one (1) along with recovered 2. Compound 1 displays an R_f of 0.1 in 3:1 petroleum ether/AcOEt.

The NMR data are in full agreement with those reported for the natural product.¹ ¹H NMR (Figure S35) and ¹H−¹H COSY spectra (Figure S37) exhibited the spin system of a 4-substituted aryl ring (δ 7.05 and 7.78, J [=](#page-5-0) 8.8 Hz), a three-spin system of H-4−H-6 (d 7.81, dd 7.67, d 8.11), [a](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02559/suppl_file/jo5b02559_si_001.pdf) singlet of H-3 (δ [7.21\),](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02559/suppl_file/jo5b02559_si_001.pdf) and doublets at δ 8.62 (H-7) [and](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02559/suppl_file/jo5b02559_si_001.pdf) [8.86](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02559/suppl_file/jo5b02559_si_001.pdf) [\(H](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02559/suppl_file/jo5b02559_si_001.pdf)-9) with $J = 2.0$ Hz. This ^{4}J coupling (2.0 Hz) indicates that a substituent must be located at the position between these two protonated carbon atoms. A complete set of HSQC (Figure S38) and HMBC (Figure S39) correlations confirmed the structure of compound 1. Correlations of H-3 and H-9 with δ 182.0 assigned the carbonyl carbon atom to C-1. Most importantly, a[ttachment o](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02559/suppl_file/jo5b02559_si_001.pdf)f the 4-hydroxy[phenyl subs](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02559/suppl_file/jo5b02559_si_001.pdf)tituent to the phenalenone nucleus was confirmed by HMBC cross signals of the H-2′−H-6′ doublet with C-8 (δ 141.5): 16 mg (55% yield); red solid; mp 160−161 °C dec; ¹H NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 7.05 (2H, ~d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-3′-5′), 7.21 (1H, s, H-3), 7.67 (1H, dd, J = 8.1 and 7.0 Hz, H-5), 7.78 (2H, ∼d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-2'-6'), 7.81 (1H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, H-4), 8.11 (1H, d, J = 8.1 Hz, H-6), 8.62 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, H-7), 8.86 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, H-9); 13C NMR (C_2D_6CO) δ 115.5 (C-3), 117.9 (C-3'-5'), 124.8 (C-9b), 129.5 (C-5), 130.3 (C-9a), 130.3₈ (C-9), 130.4₀ (C-2'-6'), 130.5 (C-3a), 131.3₆ (C-6), 131.41 (C-4), 132.4 (C-1′), 134.5 (C-7), 134.8 (C-6a), 141.5 (C-8), 152.3 (C-2), 159.8 (C-4'), 182.0 (C-1); HRMS (ESI) $[M + H]^+$ calcd for $C_{19}H_{13}O_3$ m/z 289.0859, found m/z 289.0857.

9-Methoxy-2-phenyl-1H-phenalen-1-one (8a). 2-Bromo-9-methoxy-1H-phenalen-1-one (10, 150 mg, 0.5 mmol), phenylboronic acid (74 mg, 0.6 mmol), and bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium (II) dichloride (18 mg, 5 mol %) were dissolved in dioxane (7 mL) and treated with $\rm Na_2CO_{3(aq)}$ (0.8 mL, 2 M). The mixture was refluxed for 4 h. Partitioning between AcOEt and H₂O followed by column chromatography (1:1 petroleum ether/ CH_2Cl_2) afforded 110 mg of 8a: 110 mg (74% yield); orange solid; mp: 157−158 °C (uncorrected); $R_f = 0.4$ (CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 4.20 (3H, s, -OMe), 7.34 (1H, tt, $J = 7.5$ and 1.3 Hz, H-4'), 7.45 (2H, m, -Ph), 7.63 (1H, d, $J = 9.2$ Hz, H-8), 7.72 (2H, m, -Ph), 7.76 (1H, dd, $J = 8.0$ and 7.4 Hz, H-5), 8.27 (1H, d, $J = 9.2$ Hz, H-7), 8.33 (1H, dd, $J = 8.0$ and 1.3 Hz, H-6), 8.36 (1H, s, H-3), 8.64 (1H, dd, J = 7.4 and 1.3 Hz, H-4); ¹³C NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 58.1 (-OMe), 114.9 (C-9a), 115.9 (C-8), 126.9 (C-5), 129.4 (C-4′), 129.6 (C-9b), 129.7 (C-3′-5′), 130.0 (C-6a), 130.9 (C-2′-6′), 131.5 (C-3a), 133.1 (C-4), 134.6 (C-3), 136.6 $(C-6$ and $C-7$), 138.9 $(C-2)$, 139.5 $(C-1')$, 161.3 $(C-9)$, 184.2 $(C-1)$; HRMS (ESI) $[M + H]^+$ calcd for $C_{20}H_{15}O_2$ m/z 287.1067, found m/z 287.1068.

7-Hydroxy-8-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-phenalen-1-one (7a). Compound 8a (96.1 mg, 0.3 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF (5 mL) and the solution cooled to −78 °C. To this solution was added DIBAL-H (1 M in THF) (0.75 mL, 2 equiv, color change from orange to red), and the solution was stirred for 1 h. The cooling bath was removed, and the solution was left to warm for an additional 2 h. The reaction was quenched with 1 mL of AcOEt (slow addition) followed by saturated NH₄Cl (2 mL). Partitioning between AcOEt and H₂O (150) mL each) and evaporation of the organic phase afforded crude material that was picked in CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL, not dry) and treated with SSA (201 mg) for 1 h at room temperature. Preparative TLC of the reaction mixture (1:1 petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂, five rounds) afforded 22 mg of 7a: 27 mg (33% yield); $R_f = 0.5$ (CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 2.87 (2H, t, J = 7.3 Hz, H-2), 3.44 (2H, t, J = 7.3 Hz, H-3), 7.41 (1H, tt, J = 7.3 and 1.3 Hz, H-4′), 7.51 (2H, m∼t, J = 7.3 Hz, H-3′-5′), 7.55 (2H, ∼d, J = 5.5 Hz, H-4 and H-6), 7.60 (2H, m, H-2′-6′), 8.06 (1H, s, H-9), 8.29 (1H, m~t, J = 5.5 Hz, H-5); ¹³C NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 30.2 (C-3), 39.7 (C-2), 122.5 (C-5), 124.5 (C-8), 124.8 (C-6a), 126.5 (C-9a), 127.6 (C-4), 127.8 (C-6), 129.5 (C-4′), 130.1 (C-9), 130.7 (C-3′- 5′), 131.6 (C-2′-6′), 134.3 (C-9b), 135.4 (C-3a), 139.5 (C-1′), 156.3 (C-7), 197.6 (C-1); HRMS (ESI) $[M + H]^{+}$ calcd for $C_{19}H_{15}O_2$ m/z 275.1067, found m/z 275.1065.

8-Phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-phenalen-1-one (5b). Part 1. LiAl H_4 (111 mg, 3 mmol) was suspended in dry THF (6 mL) and treated with compound 8a (73.1 mg, 0.3 mmol). After the change in color (green), the suspension was refluxed for 2 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, treated with AcOEt (1 mL, slow addition), and partitioned between AcOEt and $H₂O$ (75 mL each). The aqueous phase was washed with AcOEt $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$, and the combined organic extracts were concentrated and picked with 6 mL of MeOH.

Part 2. The methanolic solution was treated with $CeCl₃·7H₂O$ $(145.2 \text{ mg}, 0.4 \text{ mmol})$, followed by NaBH₄ $(172.7 \text{ mg}, 4.6 \text{ mmol}, \text{slow})$ addition, gas evolution!, color change from orange to pale yellow). The mixture was agitated for 15 h, concentrated, and partitioned between CH_2Cl_2 and H_2O (50 mL each). The aqueous phase was further extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 50 mL) and the organic phase concentrated and picked in CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL).

Part 3. The dichloromethane solution mentioned above was treated with SSA (201 mg). Addition of SSA was repeated after 1 h (550 mg) and the mixture agitated for a further 24 h. The mixture is adsorbed in silica gel and subjected to column chromatography (1:1 petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂) to afford 19 mg of 5b ($R_f = 0.5$ in CH₂Cl₂): 19 mg (25% yield, 38% brsm); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.03 (2H, t, J = 7.1 Hz, H-2), 3.47 (2H, t, J = 7.1 Hz, H-3), 7.47 (5H, m), 7.77 (2H, m), 7.86 $(1H, d, J = 8.1 \text{ Hz}, H-6)$, 8.29 $(1H, d, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, H-6)$, 8.49 $(1H, d, J)$

 $= 1.5$ Hz, H-7); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 28.5 (C-3), 38.6 (C-2), 124.5 (C-9), 125.5 (C-4), 126.5 (C-6), 126.7 (C-5), 127.4 (C-3′-5′), 127.9 (C-4′), 129.0 (C-2′-6′), 130.2 (C-6a), 130.8 (C-9b), 131.5 (C-7), 133.2 (C-1′), 133.9 (C-3a), 138.5 (C-9a), 140.0 (C-8), 198.6 (C-1); HRMS (ESI) $[M + H]^+$ calcd for C₁₉H₁₅O m/z 259.1117, found m/z 259.1115.

For identification purposes, compounds 7b and 6b were isolated after parts 1 and 2, respectively.

9-Methoxy-2-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-phenalen-1-one (7b). $R_f =$ 0.8 (CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 3.67 (2H, ddd for each proton, J_{set_a} = 16.5, 9.2, and 0.7 Hz, J_{set_b} = 16.5, 6.2, and 0.6 Hz, H-3), 4.04 (3H, s, -OMe), 4.21 (1H, dd, $J = 9.2$ and 6.2 Hz, H-2), 7.26 (5H, m, -Ph), 7.49 (1H, dd, J = 8.1 and 7.2 Hz, H-5), 7.56 (1H, d, J = 9.0 Hz, H-8), 7.98 (1H, d, J = 9.0 Hz, H-7), 8.08 (1H, dd, J = 7.2 and 1.3 Hz, H-4), 8.15 (1H, dd, J = 8.1 and 1.3 Hz, H-6); ¹³C NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 30.6 (C-3from HMQC), 54.2 (C-2), 57.6 (-OMe), 115.7 (C-8), 118.7 (C-9a), 125.0 (C-5), 127.7 (C-4), 128.6 (C-4′), 129.8 (C-7), 130.1 (C-3′- 5'), 130.2 (C-2'-6'), 130.6 (C-6a), 134.4 (C-9b_{from HMBC}), 135.7 (C-6), 141.4 (C-1′_{from HMBC}), 156.7 (C-9_{from HMBC}), 198.9 (C-1_{from HMBC}); HRMS (ESI) $[M + H]^+$ calcd for $C_{20}H_{17}O_2$ m/z 289.1223, found m/z 289.1226.

9-Methoxy-2-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-phenalen-1-ol (6b). $R_f = 0.4$ (CH_2Cl_2) ; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.44 (3H, m, H-2 and H-3), 4.02 (3H, s, -OMe), 5.16 (1H, ∼s, H-1), 7.44 (8H, m), 7.84 (2H, m); 13C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 22.9 (C-3), 44.8 (C-2), 56.4 (-OMe), 73.0 (C-1), 113.2 (C-8), 119.9 (C-9a), 123.4 (C-4), 125.9 (C-5), 126.9 (C-6), 127.1 (C-7), 128.3 (C-3′-5′), 128.6 (C-2′-6′), 129.0 (C-6a), 129.3 (C-9b), 135.6 (C-1′), 142.5 (C-3a), 153.5 (C-9).

8-Phenyl-1H-phenalen-1-one (4b). Compound 5b (18 mg, 0.07 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (2 mL) was treated with DDQ (22 mg, 0.12 mmol). The DDQ addition (7 mg, 0.03 mmol) was repeated after 3 h and the mixture refluxed for 20 h. Column chromatography (1:1 petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂, two runs) of the reaction mixture afforded 14 mg of compound 4b: 14 mg (78% yield); $R_f = 0.3$ (CH₂Cl₂); yellow solid; mp 96–97 °C (uncorrected); ¹H NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 6.66 (1H, d, J = 9.7 Hz, H-2), 7.47 (1H, tt, J = 7.3 and 1.3 Hz, H-4′), 7.57 (2H, m, -Ph), 7.72 (1H, dd, J = 8.4 and 7.0 Hz, H-5), 7.88 (2H, m, -Ph), 7.93 $(1H, d, J = 7.0$ Hz, H-4), 7.94 $(1H, d, J = 9.7$ Hz, H-3), 8.25 $(1H, d, J)$ $= 8.4$ Hz, H-6), 8.63 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, H-7), 8.76 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, H-9); ¹³C NMR (C₂D₆CO) δ 128.4 (C-9b), 129.2 (C-3'-5'), 129.3 (C-5), 129.6 (C-3a), 130.0 (C-4′), 130.1 (C-9), 130.7 (C-2), 131.0 (C-2′-6′), 131.7 (C-9a), 133.4 (C-4), 134.0 (C-6), 134.1 (C-7), 134.9 (C-6a), 141.4 (C-1′), 141.5 (C-8), 143.6 (C-3), 186.2 (C-1); HRMS (ESI) $[M + H]^+$ calcd for C₁₉H₁₃O m/z 257.0961, found m/z 257.0959.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b02559.

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra for all products (PDF)

[■](http://pubs.acs.org) AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: leon.otalvaro@udea.edu.co.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no competing](mailto:leon.otalvaro@udea.edu.co) financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Emily Wheeler for editorial assistance. This research was financially supported by Universidad de Antioquia, Colciencias (Grant 111565842551), and the Max-Planck-Institut für Chemische Ökologie.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Hölscher, D.; Schneider, B. Phytochemistry 2005, 66, 59−64.

(3) Kamo, T.; Kato, N.; Hirai, N.; Tsuda, M.; Fujioka, D.; Ohigashi, H. Biosci., Biotechnol., Biochem. 1998, 62, 95−101.

(4) Kamo, T.; Hirai, N.; Iwami, K.; Fujioka, D.; Ohigashi, H. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 7649−7656.

(5) Cooke, R. G.; Edwards, J. M. Prog. Chem. Org. Nat. Prod. 1981, 40, 153−190.

(6) Fang, J.; Paetz, C.; Hölscher, D.; Munde, T.; Schneider, B. Phytochem. Lett. 2011, 4, 203−208.

(7) Hölscher, D.; Schneider, B. J. Nat. Prod. 2000, 63, 1027−1028. (8) (a) Della Greca, M.; Molinaro, A.; Monaco, P.; Previtera, L. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 3971−3976. A special group of tetraoxygenated 7-phenylphenalenones occurring in some Haemodoraceae plants seem to have a tautomeric relationship with 9-phenylphenalenones. Therefore, they are considered a subgroup of the latter: See: (b) Fang, J.; Kai, M.; Schneider, B. Phytochemistry 2012, 81, 144− 152. (c) Dias, D.; Goble, D.; Silva, C.; Urban, S. J. Nat. Prod. 2009, 72, 1075−1080. (d) Bick, I.; Blackman, A. Aust. J. Chem. 1973, 26, 1377− 1380.

(9) Brkljača, R.; White, J.; Urban, S. J. Nat. Prod. 2015, 78, 1600− 1608.

(10) Rosquete, L. I.; Cabrera-Serra, M. G.; Piñ ero, J. E.; Martín-Rodríguez, P.; Fernández-Pérez, L.; Luis, J. G.; McNaughton-Smith, G.; Abad-Grillo, T. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2010, 18, 4530−4534.

(11) Gutiérrez, D.; Flores, N.; Abad-Grillo, T.; McNaughton-Smith, G. Exp. Parasitol. 2013, 135, 456−458.

(12) (a) Koelsch, C.; Anthes, J. J. Org. Chem. 1941, 6, 558−565. (b) Cooke, R.; Dagley, I. Aust. J. Chem. 1978, 31, 193−197. (c) Cano, M.; Rojas, C.; Hidalgo, W.; Sáez, J.; Gil, J.; Schneider, B.; Otálvaro, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 351−354.

(13) (a) Otálvaro, F.; Nanclares, J.; Vásquez, L.; Quiñones, W.; Echeverri, F.; Arango, R.; Schneider, B. J. Nat. Prod. 2007, 70, 887− 890. (b) Hidalgo, W.; Cano, M.; Arbelaez, M.; Zarrazola, E.; Gil, J.; Schneider, B.; Otálvaro, F. Pest Manage. Sci. 2015, n/a. (c) Luque-Ortega, J.; Martínez, S.; Saugar, J.; Izquierdo, L.; Abad, T.; Luis, J.; Piñero, J.; Valladares, B.; Rivas, L. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2004, 48, 1534−1540. (d) Hölscher, D.; et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2014, 111, 105−110.

(14) Hidalgo, W.; Duque, L.; Saez, J.; Arango, R.; Gil, J.; Rojano, B.; Schneider, B.; Otálvaro, F. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2009, 57, 7417-7421.

(15) Frank, R. L.; Hall, H. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1950, 72, 1645−1648. (16) Nishida, S.; Kariyazono, K.; Yamanaka, A.; Fukui, K.; Sato, K.;

Takui, T.; Nakasuji, K.; Morita, Y. Chem. - Asian J. 2011, 6, 1188− 1196.

(17) Franz, K. D.; Martin, R. L. Tetrahedron 1978, 34, 2147−2151.

(18) Jensen, D.; Caes, B. J. Chem. Educ. 2008, 85, 413−415.

(19) Gas phase geometry optimization was conducted in Spartan '10 using the following procedure: B3LYP/6-311+G**//AM1//MMFF: Spartan '10, version 1.1.0; Wavefunction, Inc.: Irvine, CA, 2011.

(20) Zolfigol, M. A. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 9509−9511.